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#### Abstract

The effect of chiral diphosphine structure, method of catalyst generation, reaction solvent, and HI scavenger on the formation of enantioenriched 3,3-disubstituted 2-oxindole 5 from asymmetric Heck cyclization of 4 was studied (eq 1). Depending upon whether the HI scavenger was a silver salt or a basic tertiary amine, either enantiomer of $\mathbf{5}$ could be formed with good selectivity using the same enantiomer of BINAP. Using Pd-BINAP as catalyst, a variety of enantioenriched 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles, indolines, and dihydrobenzofurans was prepared from $(E)$ - $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated 2-haloaniline substrates (Table 5). With but one exception, cyclizations conducted in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ or 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine (PMP) afforded opposite enantiomers of the spirocyclic product. Which HI acceptor results in highest enantioselection is substrate dependent. These studies demonstrate, for the first time, that asymmetric Heck reactions of halide substrates can proceed with useful levels of enantioselectivity in the absence of silver or thallium salts.


## Introduction

Although first reported more than 25 years ago, ${ }^{2}$ it is only within the past decade that the enormous potential of the Heck reaction in organic synthesis has been revealed. ${ }^{3}$ When employed intramolecularly, Heck reactions succeed in many contexts, ${ }^{3 c}$ including insertions into trisubstituted and even tetrasubstituted double bonds. ${ }^{4}$ The ability of intramolecular Heck reactions to reliably fashion carbon-carbon bonds in polyfunctional molecules has led to wide application of this reaction at the strategy level for the synthesis of complex natural products. ${ }^{5}$

One of the most important developments in this area is the increasing success being realized in effecting catalytic asymmetric Heck reactions using enantiopure ligands. ${ }^{6}$ Undoubtedly due to early reports that chelating diphosphines were unsuitable

[^0]ligands for bimolecular Heck reactions, ${ }^{7}$ asymmetric Heck reactions were first described only in 1989..$^{8,9}$ Although only modest enantiocontrol was described in the initial disclosures from Shibasaki's and our laboratories, rapid progress in this area has been made; many asymmetric Heck reactions proceeding with enantioselectivities of $>90 \%$ have now been described. ${ }^{6}$

Since our first disclosure of the exceptional facility with which fully substituted carbon centers can be constructed by intramolecular Heck reactions, our investigations in this area have centered on Heck cyclizations that form quaternary carbons. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}, 10}$ In 1989, we reported the first example of directly creating a quaternary center by an asymmetric Heck cyclization, in this case asymmetric bis-cyclization of a trienyl triflate. ${ }^{9}$ We report herein details of our subsequent investigations of asymmetric Heck cyclizations of alkenyl aryl halides to prepare enantioenriched heterocycles. A variety of heterocycles are produced with moderate to high levels of enantiomeric purity. Moreover, these investigations led to the unanticipated discovery that depending upon how HI is scavenged, either enantiomer of the spirocyclic product could be formed with good selectivity using the same enantiomer of a chiral diphosphine ligand (Figure 1). These studies demonstrated, for the first time, that asymmetric Heck reactions not proceeding via cationic intermediates (vide infra) can occur with high ( $>90 \%$ ) enantioselectivity. ${ }^{11}$ In two accompanying papers, we further elaborate the scope of these
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## Scheme 1


reactions, ${ }^{12,13}$ report initial mechanistic investigations, ${ }^{12,14}$ and illustrate the utility of "neutral" asymmetric Heck reactions for asymmetric synthesis of 3a-substituted pyrroloindolines, including pharmacologically important Calabar alkaloids. ${ }^{15}$

## Results and Discussion

Initial Exploratory Studies. To avoid potentially complicating double bond isomerization of the Heck product, 2-iodo- N -(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-8-ylcarbonyl)- $N$-methylaniline (4) was chosen for our initial studies. This anilide was readily synthesized on multigram scales as summarized in Scheme 1. Aldehyde $\mathbf{1}^{16}$ was first converted to carboxylic acid 2 by Lindgren oxidation ${ }^{17}$ and then coupled, via the acid chloride derivative, with 2-iodoaniline to give 3. Finally, deprotonation of anilide $\mathbf{3}$ with NaH followed by reaction with excess MeI in refluxing THF provided 4 in $41 \%$ overall yield from 1.

As did other early workers in this field, ${ }^{8,18}$ we initially assumed that iodide would have to be scavenged to achieve good selectivity in Heck cyclizations of $\mathbf{4}$ carried out in the presence of enantiopure diphosphine ligands (eq 1). This presumption followed from the expectation that the migratory insertion step $\mathbf{6 \rightarrow 7}$ would proceed from a four-coordinate intermediate, which would accommodate a bidentate ligand only if iodide was removed from the palladium coordination sphere (Scheme 2). Our inaugural experiments were guided by recent
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Figure 2.
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Table 1. Asymmetric Heck Cyclization of $\mathbf{4}$ to Spirooxindole $\mathbf{5}^{a}$

| ligand | solvent | time, h | yield, $\%$ | abs config | ee, $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| (-)-DIOP | toluene | 8 | 76 |  | $\mathrm{rac}^{b}$ |
| (-)-DIOP | MeCN | 22 | $58^{c}$ | $S$ | 9 |
| (+)-DIOP | NMP | 6 | 91 |  | $\mathrm{rac}^{b}$ |
| PPFA | toluene | 4 | 87 | $S$ | 26 |
| PPFA | NMP | 8 | 81 | $S$ | 23 |
| BPPFA | NMP | 24 | $39^{c}$ | $R$ | 26 |
| AMPHOS | toluene | 24 | $45^{c}$ | $S$ | 5 |
| AMPHOS | NMP | 25 | $34^{c}$ |  |  |
| (S)-(-)-BINAP | toluene | 23 | $47^{c}$ | $R$ | 20 |
| (S)-(-)-BINAP | THF | 12 | 79 | $R$ | 38 |
| $(S)-(-)-$ BINAP | MeCN | 28 | $33^{c}$ | $R$ | 20 |
| $(S)-(-)-$ BINAP | NMP | 23 | 70 | $R$ | 51 |

[^3]disclosures from the Shibasaki group that $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was the optimal halide scavenger for asymmetric Heck cyclizations that formed tertiary centers. ${ }^{19}$ Results obtained from our initial study of the cyclization of iodide 4 to form 3-spiro-2-oxindole 5 using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, commercially available enantiopure chiral disphos-

phines (Figure 2), and excess $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ are presented in Table 1. Enantiomeric purity was determined by integrating the $N$-methyl signals of 5 in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ measured in the presence of tris[3-(trifluoromethylhydroxymethylene)-(+)-camphorato]ytterbium(III), $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}$.

The rate of cyclization of $\mathbf{4}$ varied considerably with ligand structure: DIOP~PPFA $>$ BINAP $>$ AMPHOS. With all ligands, Heck cyclizations in MeCN were particularly slow. As is apparent in Table 1, BINAP was the most promising ligand.

[^4]Table 2. Forming (S)-Spirooxindole $\mathbf{5}$ Using $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP Generated from Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) ${ }^{a}$

| entry | solvent | temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | time, h | yield, ${ }^{b} \%$ | ee, ${ }^{b} \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | NMP | 80 | 26 | 86 | 70 |
| $2^{c}$ | NMP | 80 | 26 | $78-80$ | $68-69$ |
| $3^{c}$ | DMPU | 80 | 26 | $68-70$ | $49-53$ |
| $4^{d}$ | THF | 80 | 26 | 84 | 22 |
| 5 | MeCN | 80 | 26 | 42 | 63 |
| 6 | DMA | 80 | 26 | 81 | 71 |
| 7 | DMF | 80 | 26 | 69 | 70 |
| 8 | DMSO | 80 | 26 | 67 | 42 |
| 9 | DMA | 60 | 88 | 78 | 71 |
| 10 | DMA | 110 | 4 | 86 | 67 |
| $11^{e}$ | DMA | 80 | 4 | 90 | 65 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was treated with $(R)$-BINAP ( $11 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2 equiv) at room temperature for 40 min (unless noted otherwise) before the addition of 4. The reaction was then maintained at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ A range is reported for reactions run in duplicate. ${ }^{c}$ Same as $a$ with a pretreatment time of $20 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{d}$ Same as $a$ with a pretreatment time of $90 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{e}$ Same as $a$ with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and $22 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-BINAP.

Enantioselectivities realized in cyclizations of $\mathbf{4}$ in the presence of (S)-(-)-BINAP were solvent dependent, with the highest ee's being realized in N -methylpyrrolidine (NMP).

Since incomplete ligation of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ with BINAP would result in an achiral metal species being present (and potentially catalyzing the formation of racemic 5), we next examined in situ generation of $\operatorname{Pd}(B I N A P)$ from other palladium precatalysts. Using $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{BINAP}$ as the precatalyst, enantioselectivities realized in the $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 5}$ conversion were not reproducible, while ee's were no higher if bis(acetonitrile)palladium(II)chloride $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{20}$ was employed as the precatalyst. However, higher enantioselectivities were obtained using tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium $(0)\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right],{ }^{21}$ which is known to rapidly exchange dibenzylideneacetone ligands with phosphines. The results summarized in Table 2 were obtained when the catalyst was generated by stirring $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ under an Ar atmosphere with $(R)$-BINAP $(11 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in the presence of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in various solvents at room temperature for $20-90 \mathrm{~min}$ prior to adding a solution of 4 . Enantioselection was again found to be quite solvent dependent, with the polar solvents NMP, $N, N$-dimethylacetamide (DMA), and DMF giving ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 5}$ in highest ee $(68 \sim 71 \%$ ). Doubling the catalyst generation time in NMP (entries 1 and 2) had no effect on enantioselectivity. Enantioselectivity was also not changed, within experimental error, when the cyclization temperature was varied from 60 to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMA (entries 6, 9, and 10). Doubling the catalyst loading also had little effect on ee; however, as expected cyclization was faster (entry 11). At this stage, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ was chosen as the optimal palladium source, and NMP and DMA were selected as solvents for further studies.

The effect of varying the silver salt in Heck cyclizations of 4 with $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP, generated in situ from $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, was studied next. Cyclizations carried out at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 h in the presence of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, or $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ provided (S)-5 in high yield and $64-70 \%$ ee (Table 3, entries $1-3$ ). Qualitatively similar results were observed in the presence of $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (entry 10). Cyclizations of $\mathbf{4}$ were much slower in the presence of silver carboxylate salts $\left(\mathrm{AgOAc}, \mathrm{AgOBz}\right.$, and $\mathrm{AgO}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{CCF}_{3}$ ) and took place with low or no asymmetric induction (entries 4-6). With silver salts of even less basic anions

[^5]Table 3. Effect of Silver and Thallium Salts on Asymmetric Heck Cyclization of $\mathbf{4}$ with $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP to Form $(S)-\mathbf{5}^{a}$

| entry | silver salt | conversion, ${ }^{b} \%$ | yield, $\%$ | ee, $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 100 | 86 | 70 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 100 | 83 | 68 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 100 | 79 | 64 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{AgOAc}^{2 g O B z}$ | 62 | 38 | 22 |
| 5 | AgOBz | 14 | 4 | 11 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}$ | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{AgOTf}_{9}$ | 73 | $c$ |  |
| $10^{d}$ | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | 70 | $c$ |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 100 | 86 | 48 |

${ }^{a}$ A mixture of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, 11 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-BINAP, and 2 equiv of a silver salt was stirred in NMP under Ar at room temperature for 40 min prior to adding 4 . The reaction was then maintained at 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $26 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ By capillary GC analysis. ${ }^{c}$ Loss of 4 was determined by capillary GC analysis, but no 5 was detected by TLC analysis. ${ }^{d}$ The solvent was DMA and reaction time was 2.5 h . ${ }^{e}$ The absolute configuration of the predominant enantiomer was $R$.
$\left(\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, \mathrm{AgOTf}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}\right)$ virtually no spirooxindole $\mathbf{5}$ was formed, although anilide 4 was slowly consumed to provide a complex mixture of products in the presence of AgOTf and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$. Most revealing was the observation that $\mathbf{4}$ cyclized, albeit inefficiently, in the absence of silver salts to produce $(R)-\mathbf{5}$ in a remarkable $68 \%$ ee (entry 11). We assumed that the low yield of $\mathbf{5}$ realized in cyclizations of $\mathbf{4}$ carried out in the absence of a silver salt, or in the presence of silver salts with weakly basic or nonbasic counterions, reflected the inability to regenerate a competent $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ catalyst from "HPdI". Nonetheless, it was particularly provocative that the opposite enantiomer of 5 was formed in good ee when no HI scavenger was present.

To further pursue the effect of additives on enantioselection, Heck reactions of $\mathbf{4}$ with $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP were carried out in the presence of other bases that would not specifically scavenge iodide. As summarized in Table 4, cyclizations in NMP in the presence of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4},(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, or $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ took place within $2-26 \mathrm{~h}$ in high yield, although little enantioselection was realized (entries 4-7). Cyclizations in the presence of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ occurred slowly at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in NMP producing the $R$ enantiomer of $\mathbf{5}$ in $65-68 \%$ ee (entries 1 and 2). With this latter base, raising the reaction temperature to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMA resulted in some decrease in enantioselection (entry 3 ).

The best combination of rate and enantioselectivity was realized with tertiary amine bases. Although cyclizations of 4 in the presence of $5-15$ equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(R)$ BINAP were slow, the rate was approximately doubled by use of the more basic tertiary amine, 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine (PMP) (entries 8-10). Doubling the catalyst loading to 20 mol $\%$ provided $(R)-5$ in $65 \%$ yield and $66 \%$ ee, although the reaction time was still not practical (entry 11). However, when the reaction temperature was raised to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Heck cyclization was complete in 8 h , and $(R)-5$ was isolated in $71 \%$ yield without significant diminution of enantiomeric purity (entry 12). Two other strongly basic amines, 1,8 -bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (PS) $)^{22}$ and 1,1,2,3,3-pentaisopropylguanidine (PIG), ${ }^{23}$ were screened and also afforded $(R)$ - 5 in good yield within hours at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, although enantioselection was slightly lower than that obtained in the presence of PMP (entries 12-14).

[^6]Table 4. Effect of Inorganic and Organic Bases on Asymmetric Heck Cyclizations of $\mathbf{4}$ with $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP To Form $(R)$-5 ${ }^{a}$

| entry | additive $^{\text {b }}$ | solvent | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \%$ | (R)-BINAP, \% | time, h | conv $^{\text {c }}$ | oxindole ( $R$ )-5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | yield, \% | ee, \% |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 26 | 14 | 8 | 68 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 26 | 34 | 12 | 65 |
| $3{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ | DMA | 10 | 22 | 9 | 53 | 32 | 51 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 26 | 100 | 77 | 7 |
| 5 | TBAP ${ }^{e}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 26 | 100 | 76 | 25 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 3 | 100 | 85 | $8^{f}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 1.7 | 100 | 86 | $4^{f}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 26 | 16 | 8 | 65 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{g}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 192 | 62 | 37 | 48 |
| 10 | PMP ${ }^{h}$ | NMP | 5 | 11 | 26 | 30 | 22 | 57 |
| 11 | PMP ${ }^{h}$ | DMA | 10 | 22 | 138 | 84 | 65 | 66 |
| $12^{d}$ | PMP ${ }^{h}$ | DMA | 10 | 22 | 8 | 100 | 71 | 63 |
| $13{ }^{\text {d }}$ | PS ${ }^{i}$ | DMA | 10 | 22 | 11 | 100 | 70 | 46 |
| $14^{d}$ | $\mathrm{PIG}^{j}$ | DMA | 10 | 22 | 4 | 100 | 76 | 56 |

${ }^{a}$ The catalyst was generated as detailed in Table 3 prior to adding 4. The final concentration of 4 was 0.1 M , and reactions were conducted at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless noted otherwise. ${ }^{b}$ Unless indicated otherwise, 2 equiv of inorganic bases and 5 equiv of organic bases were employed. ${ }^{c}$ By capillary GLC analysis. ${ }^{d}$ Reaction temperature was $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{e}(n-\mathrm{Bu})_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} .{ }^{f}$ The $S$ enantiomer predominates. ${ }^{g} 15$ equiv. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{PMP}=1,2,2,6,6$-pentamethylpiperidine. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{PS}=1,8$-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene. ${ }^{j} \mathrm{PIG}=1,1,2,3,3$-pentaisopropylguanidine.
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Figure 3.
and the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated acid; details are provided in Supporting Information. The allylic carbamate $\mathbf{1 8}$ and allylic ether 19 were obtained from allylic chloride 24 and 2-iodoaniline or 2-iodophenol in straightforward fashion as summarized in Scheme 4.

The synthesis of $\alpha$-methyl $(E)$-butenanilide 21 is summarized in Scheme 5. The Wittig reagent derived from methyl 2-bromopropionate (26) was condensed with glyoxylic acid monohydrate in MeCN to give $(E)$-acid 27 with high stereoselectively $(>98 \%) .{ }^{25}$ Reduction of this carboxylic acid with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}^{26}$ followed by TBDMS protection provided 29 in $58 \%$ overall yield from 27. Condensation of 29 with the reagent prepared from 2-iodoaniline and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}^{27}$ gave the corresponding anilide, which, again without purification, was methylated to provide 21.

The corresponding $\alpha$-tert-butyl ( $E$ )-buteneanilide 22 was prepared in related fashion (Scheme 6). Reductive iodination ${ }^{28}$ of 4,4-dimethylpent-2-yn-1-ol (30), ${ }^{29}$ which is available from
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Scheme 5


the hydroxymethylation of 3,3-dimethylbutyne, ${ }^{30}$ followed by protection of the resulting alcohol as a TIPS ether afforded 31 in $74 \%$ yield. Carboxylation of iodide 31 by sequential treatment with tert-butyllithium and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ afforded ( $Z$ )-carboxylic acid 32, which was converted to the corresponding methyl ester $\mathbf{3 3}$ by reaction with MeI and DBU. ${ }^{31}$ Condensation of $\mathbf{3 3}$ with the trimethylaluminum derivative of 2-iodoaniline ${ }^{27}$ provided a single amide product $\mathbf{3 4}$ in $32 \%$ yield. That the alkene had completely isomerized, presumably by conjugate additionelimination, to the more stable $E$ configuration was apparent by comparison of $\mathbf{3 4}$ with the corresponding $Z$ isomer, which had been prepared in a stereochemically unambiguous fashion. ${ }^{12}$ N -Methylation of $\mathbf{3 4}$ then provided tertiary amide $\mathbf{2 2}$ in high yield.

The synthesis of $\alpha$-phenyl ( $E$ )-buteneanilide 23 began with stannylation of readily available ester $\mathbf{3 5}$ to give an 8:1 mixture of vinylstannane 36 and a regioisomer (Scheme 7). Iodination of this mixture and removal of the minor isomer by chromatography provided iodide 37 in $75 \%$ yield, as described for the tert-butyldimethylsilyl analogue. ${ }^{32}$ Palladium(0) catalyzed cross-

[^8]coupling of $\mathbf{3 7}$ with the phenylzinc chloride, generated in situ by transmetalation of phenylmagnesium bromide with $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, afforded 38. Aminolysis of this ester following the Weinreb procedure ${ }^{27}$ provided ( $E$ )-amide 39, which was contaminated with $\sim 8 \%$ of the corresponding $Z$ isomer. Removal of the minor stereoisomer by column chromatography and subsequent N methylation then furnished 23.
B. Scope of Asymmetric Heck Cyclizations. The scope of asymmetric Heck cyclizations of ( $E$ )- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated 2-haloaniline derivatives was surveyed using two reaction conditions: (1) silver-promoted cyclizations were conducted with 5 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and $11 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-BINAP in the presence of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in DMA or NMP at $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and (2) amine-promoted cyclizations employed $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and $22 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-BINAP with 5 equiv of PMP in the same solvents at $100-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The results of these investigations are summarized in Table 5. Enantiomer ratios were determined either by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the spirocyclic products in the presence of chiral shift reagents or by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase. In four cases (entries $4,13,15,23$, and 24), enantiomeric purity was determined by both methods; agreement between the two methods was $\pm 2-5 \%$. Absolute configuration is specified when it was rigorously established (vide infra); in other cases the sign of rotation at the sodium D line is reported. Yields of spirooxindole products were typically good (60-95\%). With all anilide substrates except 22, $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ and PMP-promoted cyclizations occurred with opposite enantioselection.

The nature of the nitrogen protecting group had some effect (entries $1-10$ ), particularly in the case of the $N$-BOC derivative 12. Heck cyclization of this latter substrate in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ occurred slowly and took place with lower enantioselection, while attempted cyclizations of $\mathbf{1 2}$ in the presence of PMP at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in cleavage of the BOC group. That the acetal substituent at the homoallylic carbon had little effect was apparent in the nearly identical stereoselection observed in cyclizations of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ (entries 1-3, 11 and 12).

Cyclizations of unsubstituted five-, six-, and seven-membered cycloalkenyl analogues $\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 6}$, and $\mathbf{1 7}$ provided the known ${ }^{4}$ oxindoles 44-46, accompanied with varying amounts of double bond regioisomers (see Table 5). The excellent enantioselectivity (up to $95 \%$ ee) observed in forming $(R)-\mathbf{4 4}$ is undoubtedly due to preferential double bond migration of the initially generated palladium hydride complex of the minor $S$ enantiomer. Enantiomeric enrichment by this type of kinetic resolution was first seen by Hayashi and co-workers in a bimolecular Heck reaction. ${ }^{18}$ Consistent with this explanation, the enantiomeric excess of the $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer 53 , which was isolated in $44 \%$ yield from the cyclization summarized in entry 15 , was only $31 \%$ (the $R$ enantiomer predominated). The enantiomeric purity of $(R)-53$ (obtained by PMP-promoted cyclization) was determined by oxidation with $\mathrm{CrO}_{3} \cdot$ (pyridine) $)_{2}$ to afford enone $(R)-\mathbf{8}$ in low yield (eq 2). ${ }^{33}$ The PMP-promoted Heck cyclization of the corresponding cyclohexenyl aryl bromide $\mathbf{1 5}$ occurred at a convenient rate only at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and provided $(R)-44$ in low ee. Cyclizations of cycloheptenyl anilide 16 and cyclopentenyl anilide $\mathbf{1 7}$ occurred with no meaningful stereoinduction in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, whereas the corresponding PMP-promoted cyclizations provided 45 in $88 \%$ ee and 46 in $56 \%$ ee. We presume that enantioselection in forming 45 is also enhanced by subsequent kinetic resolution, since the $\Delta^{3,4}$ and $\Delta^{4,5}$ isomers of 45 were obtained in $40 \%$ and $4 \%$ yields, respectively.

[^9]
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However, in this case we did not establish that these isomers were enriched in the $S$ enantiomer.


Allylic carbamate $\mathbf{1 8}$ and allylic ether 19 underwent Heck cyclizations to give the corresponding spirocyclic products 47 and 48 in moderate ee in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. However, nearly racemic products were obtained in the presence of PMP (Table 5, entries 21-24).

Scheme 7


That the factors controlling enantioselection are varied and subtle is seen in cyclizations of acyclic ( $E$ )-acrylolyl 2-iodoanilides $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{2 3}$. For example, changing the $\beta$-substituent from Me to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBDMS}$ results in a decrease in enantioselectivity of the $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-promoted Heck cyclization but an increase in enantioselection in the corresponding PMP-promoted reaction. More dramatic are the changes occasioned by changing the $\alpha$-substituent (entries 27-32). Although low enantioselection is seen in cyclizations conducted with both $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and PMP, when this group is methyl (entries 27 and 28), the $t$-Bu substrate $\mathbf{2 2}$ provides $(R)-\mathbf{5 1}$ in $72 \%$ ee in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (entry 29). In contrast, phenyl analogue 23 cyclizes with poor enantioselectivity in the presence of PMP and provides the opposite enantiomer 52 in $73 \%$ ee in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$

Table 5. Scope of Asymmetric Heck Cyclizations of ( $E$ )- $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated 2-Haloanilides with $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP

|  | convn ${ }^{m}$ | HX <br> scavenger | reaction conditions |  |  |  | Heck product |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | solvent | $\underset{\mathrm{h}}{\text { time, }}$ | temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | yield, \% | ee, \% | abs config $^{a}$ |
| 1 |  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 26 | 80 | 81 | $71{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $S$ |
| 2 | $4 \rightarrow 5$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 26 | 80 | 86 | $70^{c}$ | $S$ |
| 3 |  | PMP | DMA | 8 | 110 | 71 | $66^{c}$ | $R$ |
| 4 |  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 11 | 80 | 91 | $41-51^{c, d}$ | $S$ |
| 5 | $10 \rightarrow 40$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 13 | 80 | 91 | $50^{c}$ | $S$ |
| 6 |  | PMP | DMA | 4.5 | 100 | 66 | $66^{c}$ | $R$ |
| 7 |  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 52 | 80 | 76 | $65^{c}$ | $S$ |
| 8 | $11 \rightarrow 41$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 29 | 80 | 78 | $58^{c}$ | $S$ |
| 9 |  | PMP | DMA | 6.5 | 100 | 68 | $75^{c}$ | $R$ |
| 10 | $12 \rightarrow 42$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 72 | 80 | 65 | $42^{\text {c,e }}$ | $S$ |
| 11 | $13 \rightarrow 43$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 3.5 | 80 | 99 | $72^{c}$ | + |
| 12 | $13-43$ | PMP | DMA | 1 | 100 | 89 | $71^{c}$ | - |
| 13 |  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 25 | 60 | $74{ }^{f}$ | $79-81^{c, d}$ | $S$ |
| 14 | $14 \rightarrow 44$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 25 | 60 | $70^{g}$ | $80^{c}$ | $S$ |
| 15 |  | PMP | DMA | 1.5 | 100 | $45^{h}$ | 89-95 ${ }^{\text {c,d }}$ | $R$ |
| 16 | $15 \rightarrow 44$ | PMP | DMA | 9 | 120 | $51^{i}$ | $32^{\text {c }}$ | $R$ |
| 17 | $16 \rightarrow 45$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 7.5 | 80 | $62^{j}$ | 0 | $b$ |
| 18 | $16 \longrightarrow 45$ | PMP | DMA | 1.5 | 100 | $50^{k}$ | $88^{c}$ | $b$ |
| 19 | $17 \rightarrow 46$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 23 | 60 | 81 | $7^{c}$ | $b$ |
| 20 | $17 \rightarrow 46$ | PMP | DMA | 1.3 | 100 | 96 | $56^{c}$ |  |
| 21 | $18 \rightarrow 47$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 24 | 60 | 90 | $64^{c}$ | + |
| 22 | $18 \rightarrow 47$ | PMP | DMA | 7 | 100 | 51 | $8^{c}$ | - |
| 23 | $19 \rightarrow 48$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | NMP | 24 | 60 | 91 | 49-55 ${ }^{\text {c,d }}$ | + |
| 24 | $19-48$ | PMP | DMA | 6 | 100 | 66 | $0-7{ }^{\text {c,d }}$ | - |
| 25 | $20 \rightarrow 49$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 27 | 80 | 88 | $59^{c}$ | $S$ |
| 26 | $20 \rightarrow 49$ | PMP | DMA | 1.3 | 100 | 91 | $25^{c}$ | $R$ |
| 27 | $21 \rightarrow 50$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 2 | 80 | 80 | $45^{d}$ | $S$ |
| 28 | $21 \rightarrow 50$ | PMP | DMA | 1 | 100 | 85 | $38^{\text {d }}$ | $R$ |
| 29 | $22 \rightarrow 51$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 4 | 120 | 41 | $72^{d}$ | $R$ |
| 30 | $22 \rightarrow 51$ | PMP | DMA | 2 | 120 | 90 | $27{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $R$ |
| 31 | $23 \rightarrow 52$ | $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | DMA | 2.5 | 80 | 93 | $73^{d}$ | $l$ |
| 32 | $23 \rightarrow 52$ | PMP | DMA | 14 | 100 | 74 | $35^{\text {d }}$ | $l$ |

${ }^{a}$ For compounds for which absolute configuration are not determined, sign of optical rotation is shown instead. ${ }^{b}$ Not determined. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in the presence of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}, \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3} .{ }^{d}$ Determined by HPLC analysis using a Chiralcel OD, OJ or $\mathrm{OB}-\mathrm{H}$ column. ${ }^{e}$ Determined by analysis of the 1 -unsubstituted oxindole prepared by treatment of $\mathbf{4 2}$ with TFA. ${ }^{f}$ The $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer was formed also ( $8 \%$ yield). ${ }^{g}$ The $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer was formed also ( $14 \%$ yield).
${ }^{h}$ The $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer was formed also ( $44 \%$ yield). ${ }^{i}$ The $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer was formed also ( $36 \%$ yield). ${ }^{j}$ The $\Delta^{3,4}$ and $\Delta^{4,5}$ isomers were formed also ( $13 \%$ and $17 \%$ yields, respectively). ${ }^{k}$ The $\Delta^{3,4}$ and $\Delta^{4,5}$ isomers were formed also ( $40 \%$ and $4 \%$ yields, respectively). ${ }^{l}$ The PMP- and $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-promoted cyclizations produced opposite enantiomers ${ }^{d}$ of unknown absolute configuration. The major enantiomer formed in the PMP-promoted reaction elutes first on a Chiracel OJ column (85:15 hexane-2-propanol). ${ }^{m}$ Structures are shown in Chart 1.
(entries 31 and 32). The cyclization of $\mathbf{2 2} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{5 1}$ is also notable in that it is the only Heck cyclization of an ( $E$ )- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated anilide that affords the same enantiomer in the presence of PMP and $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$.

The absolute configurations of spirooxindole acetals 40-42 were established by the straightforward chemical correlations summarized in Scheme 8. The absolute configuration of 44 was determined by allylic oxidation ${ }^{33}$ to give, in the case of the product formed in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4},(S)-\mathbf{8}$ in $52 \%$ yield (Scheme 9). This intermediate was also employed to confirm the configuration assigned to 53. Thus, conversion of (S)-8 to the corresponding tosylhydrazone 55 , followed by reduction with catecholborane, ${ }^{34}$ provided an authentic sample of (S)-53.

The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{5 0}$ was assigned by conversion to physostigmine derivative $(+)$-esermethole, ${ }^{12}$ while the configuration of 49 was assigned by straightforward chemical

[^10]
## Scheme 8


(S)-5 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ (69\% from 41, 66\% from 42) (S)-40 R = Bn (53\% from 42)

## Scheme 9


correlation with 50. The absolute configuration of $t$ - Bu congener 51 was established by chemical correlation with ( $S$ )$566^{12}$ (Scheme 10). First, the absolute configuration of ( $S$ )-56 was secured by decarbonylation using Wilkinson's catalyst, ${ }^{35}$ followed by hydrolysis of the resulting acetal, to provide (S)-$(+)-50$ in $27 \%$ overall yield. The preparation of tert-butyl derivative 61 from 56 was considerably more involved. Alkylation of the $N$-cyclohexylimine derivative of ( $S$ )-56 by sequential treatment with LDA, MeI, and hot aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ gave monomethylated aldehyde 57. Unfortunately, the monomethylated imine intermediate could not be deprotonated with LDA, lithium diethylamide, or lithium pyrrolidide in THF (with or without DMPU) precluding direct dimethylation of the imine intermediate. Nevertheless, 57 could be methylated under phase transfer conditions ${ }^{36}$ to provide a $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{5 8}$ and enol ether 59 in $50 \%$ combined yield. However, all attempts to reductively deoxygenate aldehyde $\mathbf{5 8}$ to provide the corresponding tert-butyl derivative failed. ${ }^{37}$ The desired conversion of $\mathbf{5 8}$ $\rightarrow 61$ was finally accomplished in an indirect fashion. Aldehyde 58 was first homologated to $\mathbf{6 0}$ by reaction with methoxymethyltriphenylphosphorane ${ }^{38}$ followed by hydrolysis. Aldehyde $\mathbf{6 0}$ was then decarbonylated using Wilkinson's catalyst to afford acetal 61 in low yield. Hydrolysis of this intermediate provided (S)-51. Since only a small amount of ( $S$ )-51 ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was obtained from this multistep correlation, comparison of the corresponding alcohol derivative $\mathbf{6 2}$ with this derivative of the
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## Scheme 10




$\left\langle\begin{array}{l}50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{Mel} \\ n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}, \mathrm{r}\end{array}\right.$

(1) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHOMe}$
(2) $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

product produced from Heck cyclization of $\mathbf{2 2}$ was utilized to establish absolute configuration.

## Discussion

A variety of 3,3-disubstituted 2-oxindoles can be prepared in moderate enantiomeric purity (typically $55-75 \%$ ee) by cyclization of $N$-alkyl-2-iodoanilide derivatives of $(E)-\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated acids. At the time these results were first reported, ${ }^{11}$ they represented the highest enantioselectivities obtained in asymmetric Heck reactions that directly form chiral quaternary carbon centers. In cases where the major enantiomer can be enriched, either by in situ kinetic resolution (e.g., oxindoles 44 and 45) or recrystallization (e.g., oxindole $\mathbf{8}$ ), asymmetric Heck cyclizations using Pd-BINAP provide convenient access to 3,3disubstituted 2 -oxindoles of high ( $>90 \%$ ) enatiomeric purity.

The exploratory investigations reported here reveal the important role the HX scavenger plays in determining both rate and enantioselection in asymmetric Heck cyclizations. A moderately strong proton base must be present to obtain useful catalytic rates. This requirement is seen in Heck cyclizations conducted in the presence of silver salts, where salts having weakly basic counterions (e.g., $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$) do not effectively promote Heck cyclization. This trend of higher reaction rate in the presence of more basic proton acceptors is also seen in Heck cyclizations carried out in the presence of inorganic or tertiary amine bases (Table 4). Both observations suggest that the turnover-limiting step is regeneration of an active $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyst from a hydridopalladium(II) halide intermediate.

Certainly the most provocative result of these investigations is the unprecedented observation that either enantiomer of a Heck product can be obtained using the same enantiomer of a chiral ligand. In all cases but one, Heck cyclizations of $(E)$ -
$\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated 2-iodoanilides using $\operatorname{Pd}(R)$-BINAP produce the $S$ enantiomer of the oxindole when the HI acceptor is $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and the $R$ enantiomer when 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine (PMP) is the HI scavenger (see Figure 1).

Which HI acceptor is optimal for achieving highest enantioselection is substrate dependent. For example, allylic carbamate $\mathbf{1 8}$ and allylic ether $\mathbf{1 9}$ cyclized with moderate enantioselection in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, yet provided racemic products in the presence of PMP. On the other hand, with anilide substrates 14, 16, and 17 enantioselectivities were higher in the presence of PMP than $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. With ( $Z$ )- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated 2-iodoanilide substrates, whose asymmetric Heck cyclizations are reported in the accompanying paper, enantioselection in $\mathrm{Pd}-$ BINAP catalyzed cyclizations is much higher in the presence of PMP. Although the origin of these trends is only partially understood at this time, the ability to carry out asymmetric Heck cyclizations in two distinct ways provides additional opportunities for reaction optimization.

The high enantioselectivities realized in asymmetric Heck cyclizations carried out in the absence of halide scavengers dispels the notion that a halide counterion cannot be present for high enantioselection in asymmetric Heck reactions using chiral diphosphine catalysts to be achieved. Investigations aimed at further defining this novel halide-containing (neutral) asymmetric Heck reaction manifold are presented in the following paper. ${ }^{12}$

## Conclusion

Enantioenriched 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles, indolines, and dihydrobenzofurans can be prepared by Pd-BINAP-catalyzed intramolecular Heck reactions of $o$-iodoarenes tethered to $(E)$ trisubstituted alkenes. Enantioselectivities are typically moderate ( $55-75 \%$ ee); however, in cases where the major enantiomer can be enriched by in situ kinetic resolution (e.g., oxindoles 44 and 45) or recrystallization (e.g., oxindole 8), asymmetric Heck cyclizations provide convenient access to heterocycles of high ( $>90 \%$ ) enantiomeric purity.

These investigations led to the unprecedented discovery that depending upon how HX is scavenged, either enantiomer of the Heck product can be formed with good selectivity using a single enantiomer of a chiral diphosphine ligand (Figure 1). Moreover, these studies demonstrate that the presence of a halide scavenger is not obligatory for realizing high enantioselection in (diphosphine)palladium-catalyzed asymmetric Heck insertions of some halide substrates.

The two accompanying papers report (a) intramolecular Heck reactions of related substrates containing ( $Z$ )-trisubstituted alkenes, which in the absence of halide scavengers proceed with high enantioselectivity, ${ }^{12,13}$ (b) our initial mechanistic investigations of this unusual "neutral" asymmetric Heck pathway, ${ }^{12,14}$ and (c) one illustration of the utility of "neutral" asymmetric Heck reactions for natural products construction. ${ }^{15}$

## Experimental Section ${ }^{39}$

1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene-8-carboxylic Acid (2). A solution of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 89.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at room temperature to a solution of

[^12]aldehyde $\mathbf{1}^{16}(12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 71.4 \mathrm{mmol}), t-\mathrm{BuOH}(355 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene $(85 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{17}$ The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then concentrated. The resulting aqueous solution was basified to pH 10 with 6 M NaOH , diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(470 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then washed with hexanes $(2 \times 350 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH 3 with 6 M HCl and then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was washed with brine $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The residue was recrystallized from hexanes-EtOAc to give $10.6 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of 2 as colorless scales: mp $105-105.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.6-2.4$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.1$, 139.1, 129.3, 107.1, 64.5, 36.3, 30.6, 23.1; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2956, 2883, 1692, $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z 184.0718 (184.0736 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, $58.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.55$. Found: C, $58.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.61$.

2-Iodo- N -(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-8-ylcarbonyl)- N -methylaniline (4). A solution of $2(10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 54.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 72 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 2.41 \mathrm{~g}, 60.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 90 mL ) with ice bath cooling. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and recooled in an ice bath, and then $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(4.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 6 min . After having been stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h a solution of 2-iodoaniline $(11.9 \mathrm{~g}, 54.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 56.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and THF ( 54 mL ) was added dropwise at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h , allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(750 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then washed successively with 250 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. After drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the organic layer was concentrated, and the residue was purified by $\operatorname{sgc}(3: 2$ hexanes -EtOAc ) to give $13.1 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3}$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83$ (td, $J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.3,138.7,138.2,133.1,132.4,129.2,125.7,121.6$, $107.0,89.9,64.5,36.0,30.7,23.8$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3398,1689,1645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 385.0166$ (385.0176 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NNO}_{3}$ ).

A solution of $\mathbf{3}(12.9 \mathrm{~g}, 33.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 55 mL ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a stirring suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 50.3$ mmol ) and THF ( 35 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min , and then MeI ( $5.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 84.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was then heated at reflux for 75 min , allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(750 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed successively with 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. After drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the organic layer was concentrated, and the residue was purified by $\operatorname{sgc}(3: 2$ hexanes-EtOAc) to give 10.9 g $(82 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4}$ as pale yellow crystals: mp $109-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.87$ (dd, $J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35 (td, $J=7.7,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.9-5.7 (br m, 1H), $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.6-1.9(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.8-1.4$ (br m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.3,147.0,140.0$, $133.5,129.7,129.3,129.1,128.9,106.9,98.9,64.2,37.1,35.5,30.7$, 25.3; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 1662, $1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z 399.0320 (399.0332 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{INO}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, $48.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.54$ N, 3.51. Found: C, 48.22; H, 4.51; N, 3.45.

Typical Procedure for Asymmetric Heck Cyclization Using Pd $\mathbf{P}^{-}$ $(\text { dba })_{3},(R)$-BINAP and $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in DMA. Preparation of $(S)-(+)$ 1',2'-Dihydro-1'-methyl-2'-oxospiro[1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene$\mathbf{8 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{- 3} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] $[(\boldsymbol{S})-5]$. Under an Ar atmosphere, a mixture of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0126 \mathrm{mmol}),(R)$-BINAP $(16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0270$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.501 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 40 min , and then a solution of $4(100 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.251 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMA ( 1.5 mL ) was added. After stirring at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was further extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Concentration followed by sgc (1:1 EtOAc-hexanes) gave $54.4 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of (S)-$(+)-5\left(71 \%\right.$ ee) as a colorless solid, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+3.8^{\circ}(c 0.54, \mathrm{MeOH})$ Recrystallization from toluene-hexanes gave $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{5}$ as off-white crystals: mp (racemate) $124-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$
(40) Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923
$7.29(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.7$, $10.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ (dddd, $J=13.0,9.0,3.6,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-$ $1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.0,143.1,132.8,131.1$, $129.9,128.3,123.8,122.6,108.0,104.3,64.7,64.6,49.2,30.6,29.7$ 26.4; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 1719, $1613 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z 271.1194 (271.1208 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, 70.83 ; H, 6.32; N, 5.16. Found: C, 70.71; H, 6.35; N, 5.13.

Determination of Enantiomeric Excess of 5 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Using $\mathbf{Y b}(\mathbf{t f c})_{3} . \mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}$ was stored and weighed in a glovebox, and the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Oxindole 5 was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(5-6 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ in 0.5 mL ). A solution of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}$ $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was added dropwise ( $10-14$ drops) to the NMR tube and the $N$-methyl singlet at 3.21 ppm split into two singlets (3.63.8 ppm ) which could be integrated. Addition of too much $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}$ caused the $N$-methyl signals to overlap with signals of the ethylene ketal.

Typical Procedure for Asymmetric Heck Cyclization Using Pd $_{2}$ $(d b a)_{3},(R)$-BINAP and PMP in DMA. Preparation of $(R)-(-)-1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-$ Dihydro-1'-methyl-2'-oxospiro[1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-8,3' $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] [(R)-5]. A mixture of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(23.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0253 \mathrm{mmol})$, $(R)$-BINAP ( $33.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0544 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 40 min , and then a solution of $4(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.251$ mmol), PMP ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMA ( 1.5 mL ) was added. After having been stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h , the resulting mixture was worked up as decribed for the cyclization using $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and purified by sgc to give $48.1 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$ of $(R)-(-)-5(63 \%$ ee) as a colorless solid, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-2.1^{\circ}(c 0.74, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
(S)-(-)-1', $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-Dihydro-1'-methyl-2'-oxo-4-oxospiro[cyclohex-2-ene$\mathbf{1 , 3}^{\prime} \mathbf{- 3} \mathbf{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] [(S)-(-)-8]. To a solution of (+)-5 (68\% ee, 552 mg , $2.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over 40 min and then was poured into $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \times 45 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the extract was washed with brine ( 30 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Concentration followed by sgc (1:1 EtOAc-hexanes) gave $462 \mathrm{mg}(\sim 100 \%)$ of $(S)-(-)-8$ as a pale yellow solid, $60 \%$ ee: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-31.8^{\circ}$ (c 0.51 , $\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13$ (ddd, $J=17.3,9.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63$ (ddd, $J=17.3,7.1$, $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42$ (ddd, $J=13.2,6.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.29 (ddd, $J=$ $14.0,9.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 197.9,176.2$, $146.2,143.1,131.4,131.2,129.1,123.6,123.0,108.6,49.6,33.1,32.0$, 26.6; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1711,1678,1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / z 227.0943$ (227.0946 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 73.99; H, 5.77; N, 6.16. Found: C, 74.06; H, 5.80; N, 6.14.

Recrystallization of a 360 mg sample from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ three times afforded 67 mg of $(S)-(-) \mathbf{8}$ as colorless crystals which were $92 \%$ ee.
(S)-(-)-1', 2'-Dihydro-1'-methyl-2'-oxo-3-bromo-4-oxospiro[cyclo-hex-2-ene-1, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ - $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] [(S)-(-)-9]. A solution of $\mathrm{PhNMe}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{3}$ (107 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 0.8 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(92 \%$ ee, $58.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.259 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h , at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , and at room temperature for 1.5 h and then recooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.054 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After stirring at room temperature for 75 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined extracts were washed with 7 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. After drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the organic layer was concentrated, and the residue was purified by sgc ( $3: 2$ hexanes-EtOAc) to give $51.4 \mathrm{mg}(65 \%)$ of (S)-(-)-9 as a colorless solid. Recrystallization from acetone-hexanes gave colorless single crystals, which were suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis: mp $176-177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.6,9.6$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79$ (dt, $J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-$ 2.34 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz$) \delta$ 190.0, 174.7, 146.6, $142.9,130.3,129.5,126.8,123.7,123.3,108.8,52.2,33.4,32.0,26.7$;

IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1712, 1614, $1601 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ MS (CI) m/z 308.0093 (308.0109 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ ), 307.0056 ( 307.0030 , calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{81}$ $\mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ : C, $54.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.57$. Found: C, 54.79; H, 4.00; N, 4.52.
$(S)-(-)$ - and $(R)-(+)-1^{\prime}$-Benzyl- $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dihydro- $2^{\prime}$-oxospiro[1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-8,3'3' $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] [(S)-(-)- and $(\boldsymbol{R})$-(+)-40]: a slightly yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.4-7.2(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95$ and 4.87 (ABq, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.2-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $13.5,10.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$ (ddd, $J=13.4,9.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.4-$ $2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 178.1, 142.1, 135.8, 132.9, $131.4,129.9,128.8,128.3,127.6,127.2,123.9,122.6,109.1,104.4$, 64.8, 64.7, 49.2, 43.7, 30.8, 29.8; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1709,1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) $m / z 347.1529$ ( 347.1521 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ).

Table 5, entry 5: (S)-(-)-40, 50\% ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-1.9^{\circ}(c 0.50, \mathrm{MeOH})$; entry $6(R)-(+)-4066 \% ~ e e,[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.6^{\circ}\left(c 0.71, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(S)-(-)- and (R)-(+)-1', $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-Dihydro- $2^{\prime}$-oxo- $1^{\prime}$-(2-trimethylsilyl-ethoxymethyl)spiro[1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-8, $3^{\prime}-\mathbf{3}^{\prime} H$-indole] [(S)-$(-)$ - and $(\boldsymbol{R})-(+)-41]$ : off-white crystals; mp (racemate) $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.11$ (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.98 and $4.92(\mathrm{ABq}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{ddd}$, $J=13.8,10.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ (ddd, $J=13.6,9.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.11-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{app} q \mathrm{qt}, J=14.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),-0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.4,141.5,132.5,131.4,129.8,128.4$, $123.8,123.1,109.7,104.3,69.5,64.8,64.7,49.5,31.0,29.7,17.8,-1.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1719, $1613 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z 387.1852 ( 387.1866 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ).

Table 5, entry 7: $(S)-(-)-41,65 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-5.0^{\circ}(c 0.61, \mathrm{MeOH})$; entry 9: $(R)-(+)-4,68 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.9^{\circ}(c 0.68, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
(S)-(-)-1'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1', $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-dihydro-2'-oxospiro[1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-8,3'-3'H-indole] [(S)-(-)-42]: colorless crystals; mp (racemate) $147-147.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.98 (d, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.54 (d, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.1-3.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (ddd, $J=13.5,10.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24$ (dddd, $J=13.5,7.5$, $3.4,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.07 (ddd, $J=13.7,10.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.98 (dddd, $J=13.3,7.5,3.4,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.1$, $149.3,138.9,131.9,131.8,129.4,128.5,124.6,123.7,115.0,104.1$, 84.5, 64.9, 64.6, 49.4, 31.8, 29.4, 28.1 IR (CHCl3) 1660, $1626 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z 357.1560 ( 357.1576 calcd for C20H23NO5). Anal. Calcd for C20H23NO5: C, $67.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.49 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.92$. Found: C, 67.14; H, 6.52; N, 3.94.

Table 5, entry 10: $42 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-11.8^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.60, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$.
$(-)$ - and ( + )- $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-Dihydro- $1^{\prime}$-methyl- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-oxo-4,4-dimethylspiro-[cyclohex-2-ene-1, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}-\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] (43): a colorless solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),, 2.07(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $13.5,9.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.98 (dddd, $J=12.9,9.3,4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.78-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 179.6,143.0,141.7,134.5,127.9,123.7,122.4,122.3$, 107.8, $49.5,32.8,31.0,29.9,29.5,29.2,26.3$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1701,1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) $m / z 241.1463$ ( 241.1467 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 79.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.80$. Found: C, 79.47; H, 7.92; N, 5.80.

Table 5, entry 11: $72 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+18.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.61, MeOH); entry 12: $71 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}-17.9^{\circ}(c 0.66, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-Dihydro-1'-methyl-2'-oxospiro[cyclohept-2-ene-1, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}-\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] (45) and Its $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{3,4}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\mathbf{4 , 5}}$ Isomers. Anilide $\mathbf{1 6}(88.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.249$ mmol ) was cyclized with $\mathrm{Pd}-(R)$-BINAP in the presence of PMP as described for the formation of $(-)$ - $\mathbf{5}$ to give after repeated sgc (4:1 hexanes-EtOAc, four times; 5:1 hexanes-EtOAc, once) 30.3 mg ( $54 \%$ ) of a $93: 7$ mixture of $\mathbf{4 5}\left(88 \%\right.$ ee) and its $\Delta^{4,5}$ isomer as a colorless oil and $22.6 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$ of the corresponding $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer also as a colorless oil: $45{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 2.06-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.75 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.6,142.6,135.5,131.0,129.4,127.7,123.9,122.3,107.9$, 55.3, 36.1, 34.6, 28.2, 26.2, 24.6; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1701,1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (CI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 227.1297$ ( 227.1310 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ ). $\Delta^{3,4}$ isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,3.0,1.8$ Hz ), 2.45-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.19 (ddd, $J=13.4,12.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.01 (ddd, $J=14.5,8.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 180.8,142.9,134.3,133.7,127.5,125.6,121.6,107.8,47.9$, 38.6, 33.8, 28.8, 26.2, 21.0; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1697,1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI})$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 228.1357$ ( 228.1388 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}$ ).
$(+)$ - and ( - )- $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-Dihydro- $1^{\prime}$-(methoxycarbonyl)spiro[1,4-dioxa-spiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-8, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}-\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{H}$-indole] [(+)- and ( - )-47]: a colorless solid; mp (racemate) $135.5-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene-hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78$ (d, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.10-3.95 (m, 5H), 3.83 (br s, 3H), 3.76 (d, $J=$ $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $153.5,141.4,136.9,135.6,129.1,128.3,123.8,122.8,114.9,104.6$, 64.6, 64.5, 58.9, 52.5, 44.6, 34.1, 30.5; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1702,1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) $m / z 301.1310$ ( 301.1314 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 67.76; $\mathrm{H}, 6.36 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.65$. Found: C, 67.78; H, 6.40; N, 4.64.

Table 5, entry 21: $(+)-4764 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+17.0^{\circ}(c 0.64, \mathrm{MeOH})$; entry 22: $(-)-49,8 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-0.5^{\circ}(c 0.56, \mathrm{MeOH})$.

Spiro[1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-8, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}(\mathbf{2 H})$-benzofuran]: a colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.16$ (td, $J=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42$ and $4.25(\mathrm{ABq}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.4,135.1,133.5$, 129.3, 128.7, 123.9, 120.7, 109.9, 104.7, 81.0, 64.6, 47.1, 33.2, 30.7; IR (film) 1650, $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (CI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 245.1175$ ( 245.1178 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ).

Table 5, entry 23: (+)-48, 55\% ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+59.7^{\circ}(c 0.96, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
$(-)$ - and (+)-3-Ethenyl-1,2-dihydro-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-3H-indole [(-)- and (+)-49]: a pale yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87 .(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.6,143.0,138.1,132.6,128.0$, 123.8, 122.4, 115.1, 108.2, 51.1, 26.3, 22.4; IR (film) 1717, 1702, 1635, $1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI) m/z 187.0991 ( 187.0997 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}$ ).

Table 5, entry 25: ( - )-49, 59\% ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-32.1^{\circ}$ (c $0.79, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); entry 26: $(+)-49,25 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+15.2^{\circ}$ (c 0.79 , MeOH ).
(R)- and (S)-1,2-Dihydro-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-3-(2-oxoethyl)-3Hindole $[(\boldsymbol{R})$-and ( $\boldsymbol{S})$-50]: a pale yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 9.52(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99,2.94(\operatorname{app} q d, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 198.7, 179.5, 143.1, 132.7, 128.3, 122.6, 122.4, 108.3, 50.5, 44.9, 26.4, 23.9; IR (film) 1716, 1702, 1635, $1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (CI) m/z 204.1014 (204.1024 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14^{-}}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ).

Table 5, entry 27: $45 \%$ ee; entry $28: 38 \%$ ee.
( $R$ )-3-(1,1-Dimethylethyl)-1,2-dihydro-1-methyl-2-oxo-3-(2-oxo-ethyl)- $\mathbf{3 H}$-indole (51): a beige semisolid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{Mz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $199.1,178.4$, 144.4, 129.8, 128.1, 124.5, 121.6, 107.6, 53.9, 45.2, 36.3, 25.9, 24.9; IR (neat, melted) $1728,1708,1611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19}-$ $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 73.44; N, 5.71; H, 7.81. Found: C, 73.21; N, 5.69; H, 7.78.

Table 5, entry 29: $72 \%$ ee (determined by HPLC analysis of the corresponding primary alcohol on a Chiralcel OD column, 9:1 hexane-2-propanol); [ $\alpha]^{24}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}-9.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.75, benzene).
$(R)$ - and ( $S$ )-1,2-Dihydro-1-methyl-2-oxo-3-(2-oxoethyl)-3-phenyl-3H-indole (52): a colorless semisolid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$
$9.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t} J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}), 3.41$ and $3.40(\mathrm{ABq}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.6,177.7,144.0,138.7,131.0,128.9,127.8,126.6$, 124.6, 122.9, 108.7, 52.6, 50.7, 26.7. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C 76.95; H 5.70; N 5.28. Found: C 76.75; H 5.76; N 5.25.

Table 5, entry 31: $73 \%$ ee (determined by HPLC analysis of the corresponding primary alcohol on a Chiralcel OJ column); Table 5, entry 32: $35 \%$ ee of the other enantiomer.
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